

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/573,228 | 03/24/2006 | Benno Bildstein | 0908600233US 5031 | |
| 23416 7590 07/09/2007 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP P O BOX 2207 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | LU, C CAIXIA | |
| WILMINGTON, DE 19899 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1713 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/09/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| | 10/573,228 | BILDSTEIN, BENNO | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | Caixia Lu | 1713 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | I. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Mar</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | , | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-6,8-13,15 and 16 is 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 7 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | | n. | | |
| Application Papers | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer and the correction is objected to by the Examiner. | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/2/106 | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa | te | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/573,228 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, Claims 7 and 14, in the reply filed on May 25, 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is the ligand system is the special technical feature among the restricted Groups. This is not found persuasive. As stated in the Office action mailed April 24, 2007, the ligand compound is chemically bonded to the transition metal in the transition metal complex of Formula (I), thus, the neutral ligand compound is chemically different from the ligand in the transition metal complex and there is no special technical feature shared among the restricted Groups. While the various metal complex species are represented by a common Formula (I), the various bridge groups represented by "Y" in Formula (I) do not share a common structure, "Y" is defined by six different subgenus, i.e., the transition metal complex species is in fact represented by six subgenus and those subgenus do not share a common structure. Therefore, the six transition metal species are not chemically equivalents and obvious variations among themselves and not so liked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The search results indicated the elected species is novel, the search is now extended to all species.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper length of an abstract. The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within

Art Unit: 1713

the range of 50 to 150 words. The abstract of the instant application contains more than 150 words. Appropriate correction is requested.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 14 is depended on the restricted Claim 11, applicant is urged to insert the content of Claim 11 to Claim 14.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In Claim 7, line 3, of page 6, the term "or" should be replaced with -- and --. The format of the selective members for groups "R² and "R²" are improper because Markush terminology requires the phrase "selected from the group consisting of" and the connector "and" between the last two members. See MPEP 2173.05 (h).

The same rejection is also applied to Claim 11 to which Claim 14 is depended upon.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 7 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 1713

7. Claim 14 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim.

The search results indicate that there is no prior art teaches or reasonably suggest the instant transition metal complex.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caixia Lu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1106. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful and the matter is urgent, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached at (571) 272-1114. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Caixia Lu, Ph. D. Primary Examiner